UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,109	07/11/2003	Yves Le Brech	GLT-106US	4094
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 04/15/200 TIA		EXAMINER	
POBOX 980	CE DA 10492 0090		SALVATORE, LYNDA	
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/618,109	LE BRECH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynda M. Salvatore	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 M</u>	larch 2008					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 11</u> is/are pending in the ap	olication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.					
·						
6) Claim(s) 1.3-7 and 11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r cleation requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/618,109 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for continuing examination (RCE), amendment and accompanying remarks filed 3/17/08 and 1/30/08 have been fully considered and entered. Claims 1 and 6 have been amended as requested. Applicant's amendments are not found patently distinguishable and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1,3-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Newly amended claim 1 is considered indefinite because of the comprising language that follows the consisting of language. Specifically, Applicant recites at least one heat sealable ply "consisting of natural and synthetic fibers" followed by the limitation of "wherein each synthetic fibers "comprises" a fiber blend. Such conflicting language raises 112 2nd indefinite issues because the open language of comprises with respect to the fiber blend allows for the possibility of other materials (e.g., fillers, pigments, particulates..etc) which conflict with the "consisting of" language, which allows for only natural and synthetic fibers. Claims 3-7 and 11 are rejected for their dependency on claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,109 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1, 3-7 and 11.

Notwithstanding the 112 2nd paragraph rejections set forth above, independent claim 1 is found allowable over the combination of prior art. Specifically, the combination of prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the claimed air permeability rate and Applicant has sufficiently evidenced that the claimed rate is not an inherent feature to the prior art. An updated art search did not produce any new substantial art for which to base a rejection and presently no motivation exists to combine references to form an obviousness type rejection. Claims 3-7 and 11 are also found allowable as they depend either directly or indirectly form claim independent claim 1.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M. Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,109 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lynda Salvatore/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794 March 29, 2008 Serial Number

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/618,109	LE BRECH ET AL.	LE BRECH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lynda M. Salvatore	1794		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 2008